The EU approach to Artificial Intelligence

Yordanka Ivanova, Legal and policy officer European Commission, CNECT A2 17 May 2022

European Al policy (2018-2021)

Key initiatives:

- > European Strategy on AI (April 2018)
- Guidelines for Trustworthy AI developed in 2019 by the High-Level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence (HLEG)
- First Coordinated Plan on AI (December 2018)
- The Commission's White Paper on AI (February 2020) followed by a public consultation.
- Al package (April 2021)
 - Coordinated plan on AI (review)
 - Proposal for an AI Regulation





Updated Coordinated Plan on AI (review from 2018): joint commitment between the Commission and Member States

SET ENABLING CONDITIONS FOR AI DEVELOPMENT AND UPTAKE IN THE EU	MAKE THE EU THE RIGHT PLACE; EXCELLENCE FROM LAB TO THE MARKET	ENSURE AI TECHNOLOGIES WORK FOR PEOPLE	BUILD STRATEGIC LEADERSHIP IN THE SECTORS
 Acquire, pool and share policy insights Tap into the potential of data Foster critical computing capacity 	 Collaboration with stakeholders, Public-private Partnership on AI, data and robotics Research capacities Testing and experimentation (TEFs), uptake by SMEs (EDIHs) Funding and scaling innovative ideas and solutions 	 Talent and skills A policy framework to ensure trust in Al systems Promoting the EU vision on sustainable and trustworthy Al in the world 	 Climate and environment Health Strategy for Robotics in the world of AI Public sector Law enforcement, immigration and asylum Mobility Agriculture

Investments: Horizon Europe, Digital Europe, Recovery and Resilience Facility

Proposal for a Regulation on Al

A single EU law for AI in the EU

- ▶ Internal market rules for the placing on the market, putting into service and use of AI systems
- Uniform and horizontal (applicable across sectors/public and private)
- Two main objectives: address risks to safety and fundamental rights and create a single market for trustworthy AI in EU
- Consistent with and complementing existing EU and national law (e.g. GDPR, product safety)

Innovation-friendly and risk-based legislation

- Provide legal certainty to operators and stimulate trust in the market
- ▶ No overregulation: designed to intervene only where strictly needed following a risk-based approach

Creates a level playing field for EU and non-EU players

Applicable independent of origin of producer or user



Risk-based approach

Unacceptable risk

e.g. social scoring, real time RBI for law enforcement

High risk

e.g. recruitment, medical devices, credit-scoring (Transparency' risk

Prohibited

Permitted subject to compliance with AI requirements and ex-ante conformity assessment

Permitted but subject to information/transparency obligations

Minimal or no risk

(chatbot, deep fakes)

Permitted with no restrictions



*Not mutually exclusive

High-risk Artificial Intelligence Systems (Title III, Chapter 1 & Annexes II and III)

1

SAFETY COMPONENTS OF REGULATED PRODUCTS

(e.g. medical devices, machinery) which are subject to third-party assessment under the relevant sectorial legislation

- AL systems intended to be used by public authorities or on their behalf to evaluate the eligibility of natural persons for public assistance benefits and services, as well as to grant, reduce, revoke, or reclaim such benefits and services;

CERTAIN (STAND-ALONE) AI SYSTEMS IN THE FOLLOWING AREAS

- Biometric identification and categorisation of natural persons
- Management and operation of critical infrastructure
- Education and vocational training
- Employment and workers management, access to self-employment

- Access to and enjoyment of essential private services and public services and benefits
- ✓ Law enforcement
- Migration, asylum and border control management
- Administration of justice and democratic processes



Requirements for high-risk AI (Title III, chapter 2)

Establish and implement risk management processes	Use high-quality training, validation and testing data (relevant, representative etc.)
	Establish documentation and design logging features (traceability & auditability)
	Ensure appropriate degree of transparency and provide users with information (on how to use the system, its capabilities and limitations)
	 Enable human oversight (measures built into the system and/or to be implemented by users) Enhanced oversight for RBI applications - "Four eyes" principle
	Ensure robustness, accuracy and cybersecurity

NB! Harmonised technical standards developed by ESOs will support providers to demonstrate compliance

Overview: obligations of operators (Title III, Chapter 3)

- Establish and Implement quality management system in its organisation
- Draw-up and keep up to date technical documentation
- Undergo conformity assessment and potentially re-assessment of the system (in case of significant modifications)
- Register standalone AI system in EU database (listed in Annex III)
- ► Sign declaration of conformity and affix **CE marking**
- Conduct post-market monitoring
- **Report serious incidents & malfunctioning** leading to breaches to fundamental rights
- ► Collaborate with market surveillance authorities
- User obligations

Provider obligations

- Operate high-risk AI system in accordance with instructions of use
- **•** Ensure **human oversight & monitor** operation for possible risks
- Keep automatically generated logs
- Inform any serious incident & malfunctioning to the provider or distributor
- **Existing legal obligations** continue to apply (e.g. under GDPR)



Supporting innovation (Title V)





Governance and Enforcement (Title VIII and IX)

National level

European level

Coordination of implementation and Key role for enforcement exchange National Market **European Artificial** Surveillance Authorities **Intelligence Board** Commission to act as Cooperation with other Secretariat authorities responsible for enforcement of fundamental rights legislation Expert Group*

> *Not foreseen in the regulation but the Commission intends to introduce it in the implementation process.

> > Commission

Next steps

The European Parliament and the Council as colegislators will negotiate the proposal and agree on a compromise in the ordinary legislative procedure

Once adopted, there will be 2 years of transitional period before the **Regulation becomes directly applicable** across the EU.

In parallel, harmonized standards of CEN/CENELEC should be ready and support operators in the practical implementation of the new rules& conformity assessment procedures

3



Thank you